

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED POSS DUPE

PAGE 01 IAEA V 03327 01 OF 02 121403Z

50

ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 ACDA-19 CIAE-00 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 RSC-01 SCI-06 FEA-02 AF-10 ARA-16

EA-11 NEA-10 ABF-01 OMB-01 TRSE-00 AID-20 DRC-01

OIC-04 /162 W

----- 057096

R 121242Z APR 74

FM US MISSION IAEA VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4223

INFO AEC GERMANTOWN

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY BONN

UNCLAS SECTION 1 OF 2 IAEA VIENNA 3327

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PARM, IAEA

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE & BUDGETARY COMMITTEE,

APRIL 10: DEBATE ON NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS

REF: IAEA VIENNA 2995

SUMMARY: COMMITTEE PRODUCED LONGEST DEBATE AND
STRONGEST CRITICISM OF IAEA SAFEGUARDS IN RECENT YEARS,
INCLUDING FIRST ATTACKS ON SAFEGUARDS FINANCING FORMULA
AND ON PROPOSED US AND UK SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENTS.
ALL SPEAKERS, INCLUDING FRANCE AND INDIA, SAID
SAFEGUARDS IMPORTANT AND NECESSARY, BUT MANY URGED
PRIMARY RELIANCE ON NATIONAL SAFEGUARDS SYSTEMS WITH
MINIMAL VERIFICATION BY IAEA.

1. APRIL 10 MORNING SESSION OF A&B COMMITTEE OF IAEA
BOARD OF GOVERNORS DEVOTED TO LONGEST AND MOST CRITICAL
DEBATE IN RECENT YEARS ON PROPOSED BUDGET FOR SAFEGUARDS
DEPARTMENT (ALMOST \$5 MILLION, OF WHICH INDUSTRIALIZED
COUNTRIES WILL PAY OVER 90 PERCENT IN ACCORD WITH SPECIAL
UNCLASSIFIED
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 IAEA V 03327 01 OF 02 121403Z

FINANCING FORMULA ADOPTED IN 1971.)

2. INDIAN AMBASSADOR LED OFF NOTING 30 PERCENT INCREASE IN SAFEGUARDS COSTS IN 1975 OVER 1974 (BASED ON ASSUMPTION EURATOM FACILITIES WILL COME UNDER IAEA SAFEGUARDS IN 1975) AND FURTHER INCREASES PROJECTED FOR FUTURE. HE SAID SAFEGUARDS NECESSARY "FIRSTLY IN OUR NATIONAL INTERESTS AND SECONDLY IN INTERNATIONAL INTEREST. IT FOLLOWS THAT NATIONAL SYSTEMS OF INSPECTION AND MATERIAL ACCOUNTANCY SHOULD FIRST BE ESTABLISHED. AGENCY INSPECTORS SHOULD AUDIT RESULTS AND CHECK EFFICIENCY OF NATIONAL SYSTEMS. THUS AGENCY WOULD NOT BE BURDENED WITH EVER-EXPANDING CORPS OF INSPECTORS." TURNING TO SAFEGUARDS FINANCING FORMULA (SFF), HE NOTED MOST LDCS ALREADY PAYING MORE THAN MINIMUM, I.E., AMOUNT THEY PAID IN 1971. HE SAW NO REASON WHY LDCS SHOULD HAVE TO PAY MORE THAN THEY DID IN 1971 AND SOONER SFF REVIEWED THE BETTER. (AT TIME SFF ADOPTED, IT WAS DECIDED IT WOULD BE REVIEWED "AFTER 1975". UK REP POINTED OUT IN REPLY TO INDIAN AND OTHERS THAT BY NO STRETCH OF IMAGINATION COULD THIS READ AS EARLIER THAN JANUARY 1, 1976).

3. COSTA RICAN AMBASSADOR AGREED THAT IF SAFEGUARDS PRIMARILY NATIONA WITH "SURVEILLANCE" BY AGENCY, COSTS COULD BE BROUGHT DOWN. SAFEGUARDS WERE NECESSARY AND COSTA RICA SUPPORTED THEM, BUT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE OF GREAT IMPORTANCE TO LDCS AND IMBALANCE IN IAEA PROGRAMS (I.E., TOO MUCH FOR SAFEGUARDS, TO LITTLE FOR TA) WAS HARMFUL. WE WOULD HAVE TO GO INTO SFF IN DEPTH AT LATER DATE. INDOESIAN AMBASSADOR SAID LDCS EXPECTATIONS RE SFF (PRESUMABLY THAT THEIR ASSESSMENTS FOR SAFEGUARDS WOULD BE FROZEN) HAD NOT BEEN FULFILLED. HE STRONGLY OPPOSED INCREASE IN SAFEGUARDS BUDGET AND LOOKED FORWARD TO 1976 SFF REVIEW. BRAZILIAN RESREP SAID BRAZIL RESERVED ITS POSITION ON SAFEGUARDS BUDGET "DUE TO IMBALANCE IN BUDGET AS A WHOLE".

4. FRENCH RESREP EMPHASIZED FRANCE NOT RPT NOT AGAINST SAFEGUARDS BUT BELIEVED IN VERY STRICT BUDGETARY AUSTERITY. HE REMINDED COMMITTEE THAT ONE MEMBER OF BOARD HAD VOTED AGAINST SFF AND FRANCE CONTINUED BELIEVE COUNTRY UNCLASSIFIED
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 IAEA V 03327 01 OF 02 121403Z

SAFEGUARDED SHOULD REIMBURSE AGENCY FOR COST OF SAFEGUARDS. HE THOUGHT PRESENT AUTHORIZED NUMBER OF INSPECTORS(74) IS TOO HIGH AND NUMBER SHOULD BE FROZEN AT LEVEL OF THOSE ACTUALLY NOW EMPLOYED. HE WONDERED WHETHER BUDGET'S ASSUMPTION RE TIMING OF EURATOM FACILITIES COMING UNDER AGENCY SAFEGUARDS WAS STILL PLAUSIBLE AND EVEN IF IT WAS WHETHER WORKLOAD COULD NOT BE MANAGED BY IMPROVING "PRODUCTIVITY"OF INSPECTORS.

5. ITALY SUPPORTED FRENCH REQUEST TO POSTPONE RECRUITING

OF NEW INSPECTORS UNTIL NEED ACTUALLY ARISES. ALSO SUPPORTED INDIAN VIEW THAT THERE IS DISTINCTION BETWEEN SAFEGUARDS AND INSPECTORS; AGENCY COULD HAVE ONE WITHOUT EXTRAORDINARY INCREASE IN THE OTHER. HE CALLED FOR INCREASED RESEARCH ON IMPROVING EFFECTIVENESS (READ DECREASING COST) OF SAFEGUARDS.

6. PHILIPPINE RESREP SAID SFF WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TOPIC FOR NPT REVIEW CONFERENCE IN MAY 1975. IAEA REVIEW IN 1976 DID NOT PRECLUDE EARLIER DISCUSSIONS IN OTHER FORA. PHILIPPINES ALSO SUPPORTED SAFEGUARDS; PROBLEM WAS THAT COSTS HAD RISEN WHILE TA HAD NOT RISEN PROPORTIONATELY.

7. MEXICO ASSOCIATED ITSELF WITH VIEWS OF "INDIA, INDONESIA PHILIPPINES, FRANCE AND ITALY." US/UK VOLUNTARY OFFERS TO PLACE THEIR PEACEFUL NUCLEAR FACILITIES UNDER IAEA SAFEGUARDS WERE MENTIONED BY INDIA, INDONESIA, PHILIPPINES, AND COSTA RICA, IN FIRST DISCUSSION OF THESE OFFERS IN IAEA FORUM SINCE 1971 WHEN BOARD AUTHORIZED SECRETARIAT TO NEGOTIATE WITH US AND UK. INDIA SAID IT WAS NOT CLEAR WHAT PURPOSE OFFERS SERVED. ONLY PURPOSE OF SAFEGUARDS AUTHORIZED BY AGENCY STATUTE IS TO ENSURE AGAINST DIVERSION TO MILITARY USES. WHERE MILITARY USES ALREADY UNDERTAKEN, SAFEGUARDS ARE AS GOOD AS LOCKING ONLY SOME SOORS OF STABLE. WHY SHOULD ALL MEMBERS PAY FOR SAFEGUARDS IN NES? IF ALL THEIR PEACEFUL FACILITIES SAFEGUARDED BY AGENCY, COSTS WOULD BE

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED POSS DUPE

PAGE 01 IAEA V 03327 02 OF 02 121348Z

50
ACTION IO-14

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 ACDA-19 CIAE-00 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 RSC-01 SCI-06 FEA-02 ABF-01 OMB-01

TRSE-00 AID-20 DRC-01 OIC-04 AF-10 ARA-16 EA-11

NEA-10 /162 W
----- 056984

R 121242Z APR 74
FM USMISSION IAEA VIENNA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4224

INFO AEC GERMANTOWN
USMISSION EC BRUSSELS
AMEMBASSY BONN

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 2 IAEA VIENNA 3327

FRIGHTENING. COSTS SHOULD BE BORNE BY "INTERESTED PARTIES - AND INDIA IS NOT AMONG THEM." PHILIPPINES SAID SINCE OFFERS FULFILLED NONE OF STATUTORY PURPOSES OF SAFEGUARDS, THEY MUST BE INTENDED FOR SOME OTHER PURPOSE. RUMOR HAD IT THEY WERE TO ENCOURAGE RATIFICATION OF NPT BY CERTAIN COUNTRIES. IF SO, PHILIPPINES HAD SEEN NO EVIDENCE OF ANY POSITIVE RESULTS. HE ASKED HOW MANY EFFECTIVE KILOGRAMS WOULD BE SAFEGUARDED UNDER THE TWO OFFER AGREEMENTS AND WHAT AGREEMENTS WOULD SAY WAS PURPOSE OF SAFEGUARDS APPLIED UNDER THEM.

8. IN REPLY, UK NOTED THAT OFFERS ORIGINALLY MADE OVER SIX YEARS AGO AND FACT THAT THEY WOULD ENTAIL INCREASE IN AGENCY'S RESPONSIBILITIES HAD LONG BEEN KNOWN. "TIMBS PAPER" CIRCULATED IN SAFEGUARDS COMMITTEE IN 1971 HAD ATTEMPTED ESTIMATE COST OF SAFEGUARDING UNDER OFFERS AND HAD SUGGESTED WAYS TO HOLD COST DOWN. SINCE THEN UK HAD JOINED EURATOM AND IAEA VERIFICATION OF EURATOM SAFEGUARDS IN UK WILL COST LESS THAN WOULD HAVE OTHERWISE. COMPROMISE ON SFF IS DELICATE, WAS FREELY BUT LABORIOUSLY ARRIVED AT, AND SHOULD NOT BE LIGHTLY DISCARDED. HE STRESSED THAT AGENCY MUST BEAR COST OF SAFEGUARDS UNDER UK OFFER.

UNCLASSIFIED
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 IAEA V 03327 02 OF 02 121348Z

9. FRG SAID IT HAD AGREED TO SFF ON UNDERSTANDING US AND UK FACILITIES WOULD BE SAFEGUARDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH TIMBS PAPER. THIS WAS INTEGRAL PART OF OVERALL AGREEMENT REACHED IN SAFEGUARDS COMMITTEE. FRG CONTINUED ATTACH GREAT IMPORTANCE TO APPLICATION OF IAEA SAFEGUARDS TO US AND UK PEACEFUL FACILITIES. CANADA SAID SFF IS TOPIC RESERVED FOR ANOTHER TIME AND PLACE AND IT NOT PREPARED DISCUSS IT IN A&B COMMITTEE. IT COMMENDED AGENCY FOR ITS CONTINUED EFFORTS TO IMPROVE ITS SAFEGUARDS. USSR, IN BRIEF STATEMENT, POINTED OUT SAFEGUARDS HAD FUNDAMENTAL PLACE IN IAEA STATUTE AND SAID MEMBERS MUST BE CAREFUL (IN SEARCH FOR ECONOMIES) NOT RPT NOT TO WEAKEN EFFECTIVENESS OF SAFEGUARDS.

10. US RESREP SAID SAFEGUARDS OF EXTREME IMPORTANCE TO HIS COUNTRY. NOTED STATUTE PROVISIONS, NPT OBLIGATION OF SUPPLIERS, AND REQUIREMENTS OF US LAW. AS TO FINANCING, HE NOTED THAT SFF APPROVED BY SAFEGUARDS COMMITTEE, BY BOARD, AND BY GENERAL CONFERENCE. THERE HAD NEVER BEEN ANY DOUBT THAT COSTS WOULD RISE OVER TIME. QUESTION WAS WHO SHOULD BEAR BRUNT AND SFF ASSURED THAT INDUSTRIALIZED COUNTRIES WOULD. IT HAD BEEN CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD IN SAFEGUARDS COMMITTEE THAT VOLUNTARY

OFFERS WOULD BE FINANCED IN SAME WAS AS ANY OTHER IAEA SAFEGUARDS.
US CONTINUES TO REGARD THIS ASPECT OF UNDERSTANDING AS FUNDAMENTAL.
UNDERSTANDING WHICH GREW OUT OF TIMBS PAPER WAS THAT COST
WOULD BE HELD DOWN BY SELECTIVE APPLICATION OF IAEA SAFEGUARDS
IN US & UK. SFF REVIEW IN 1976 CANNOT BE TREATED CASUALLY OR
WITHOUT TAKING INTO ACCOUNT INTERESTS OF ALL MEMBER STATES.
CERTAINLY ALL HOPE THAT SAFEGUARDS COSTS WILL LEVEL OFF, TA
AND US EXPECTS THAT TO EXTENT SLIPPAGE IN ENTRANCE INTO FORCE
OF AGREEMENTS MAKES POSSIBLE POSTPONEMENT OF INCREASES IN
INSPECTORATE
STAFF, THIS WILL BE DONE. WE ALSO HOPE TA WILL INCREASE, BUT
DO NOT SEE ANY CONNECTION BETWEEN THE TWO - UNLESS SAFEGUARDS
WERE TO BE SO WEAKENED AS TO BECOME INADEQUATE, IN WHICH CASE
US VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS FOR TA WOULD INEVITABLY BE AFFECTED.

11. DDG HALL, RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS,
PROMISED TAKE HARD LOOK AT ENTIRE BUDGET, INCLUDING
SAFEGUARDS PORTION, TO EFFECT ALL POSSIBLE ECONOMIES. INSPECTOR
GENERAL ROMETSCH NOTED THAT NPT SAFEGUARDS SYSTEM (INFCIRC 153)
UNCLASSIFIED
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 IAEA V 03327 02 OF 02 121348Z

IS IN FACT BASED ON MAXIMUM UTILIZATION OF NATIONAL SYSTEMS
OF ACCOUNTANCY AND APPLICABILITY OF IAEA SAFEGUARDS VARIES
ACCORDING TO LEVEL OF EFFORT OF NATIONAL SYSTEMS. HE SAID
ESTIMATE OF 17,000 EFFECTIVE KILOGRAMS EXPECTED TO BE UNDER
AGENCY SAFEGUARDS INCLUDED ALL NNWS, INCLUDING EURATOM NNWS,
AND AGENCY WOULD NEED 58 INSPECTORS TO SAFEGUARD THIS QUANTITY
(COMPARED TO 49 EXPECTED TO BE EMPLOYED AT END 1974 AND 74
POSITIONS AUTHORIZED). WHILE EFFECTIVE KILOGRAMS WOULD INCREASE
TO 67,000 WHEN ALL US AND UK PEACEFUL FACILITIES COME UNDER
SAFEGUARDS, SELECTIVE APPLICATION WOULD MAKE IT POSSIBLE
SAFEGUARD THIS AMOUNT WITH ONLY 10 ADDITIONAL INSPECTORS.
THEREFORE THERE WILL BE NO NEED INCREASE AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL
LEVELS IN 1975 BEYOND LEVEL ESTABLISHED FOR 1974. PRESSED BY
PHILIPPINES FOR REPLY TO QUESTION ABOUT PURPOSE OF US & UK OFFERS,
ROMETSCH SAID TWO AGREEMENTS STILL UNDER NEGOTIATION AND HE
COULD NOT SAY WHAT FINAL WORDING MIGHT BE, BUT IN ANY EVENT
SAFEGUARDS PROCEDURES UNDER THOSE AGREEMENTS WOULD BE SAME
AS IN ANY OTHER AGENCY AGREEMENT. TOTAL COST WOULD BE DETERMINED
NOT BY ANY DIFFERENCE IN PROCEDURES BUT BY SELECTION OF FACILITIES.
US CITED PAGE REFERENCES TO 1971 SAFEGUARDS COMMITTEE RECORDS
WHERE PURPOSES OF US OFFER HAD BEEN STATED AND SAID WE HAD
NOTHING TO ADD TO THOSE STATEMENTS. UK NOTED THAT BOARD WOULD
HAVE OPPORTUNITY DISCUSS AND PASS JUDGEMENT ON CONTENT OF ITS
AGREEMENT WHEN IT CAME BEFORE BOARD FOR APPROVAL.

12. COMMENT: IN PART, LDC CAMPAIGN - CAREFULLY ORCHESTRATED
LIKE ALL OF THEIR INTERVENTIONS IN A&B COMMITTEE - WAS SIMPLY
ATTEMPT AT BLACKMAIL TO ENSURE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES' AGREEMENT
TO LARGER TARGET FOR TA. HOWEVER, NO MATTER HOW GENEROUS TA
MAY BE IN FUTURE, WE ARE CLEARLY IN FOR ROUGH TIME WHEN (A)

US & UK OFFERS COME BEFORE BOARD, AND (B) SFF IS REVIEWED IN
1976. PORTER

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: POLICIES, BUDGETS, LESS DEVELOPED COUNTRIES, PERSONNEL, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS, FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 12 APR 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974IAEAV03327
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740085-0422
From: IAEA VIENNA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740446/aaaabpfb.tel
Line Count: 279
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION IO
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: IAEA VIENNA 2995
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 06 MAY 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <06 MAY 2002 by bryansd0>; APPROVED <07 MAY 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: ADMINISTRATIVE & BUDGETARY COMMITTEE, APRIL 10: DEBATE ON NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS
TAGS: PARM, IAEA
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005